

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/063,377		04/17/2002	Brian James Knight	56162.000303	5972
21967	7590	01/31/2006		EXAM	INER
HUNTON	& WIL	LIAMS LLP	LEVITAN, DMITRY		
INTELLE	CTUAL F	ROPERTY DEPA	120012000	D. DCD	
1900 K ST	REET, N	.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 120	00		2662		
WASHING	GTON, D	C 20006-1109			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(QCL				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/063,377	KNIGHT, BRIAN JAMES				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dmitry Levitan	2662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	<u>April 2002</u> .					
· <u></u>	· 					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•					
closed in accordance with the practice under	i Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appl iority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/063,377 Page 2

Art Unit: 2662

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

- 2. The attempt to incorporate subject matter into this application by reference to 09/613,098 is ineffective because the incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to an unpublished U.S. application, foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference, if the material is relied upon to overcome any objection, rejection, or other requirement imposed by the Office. The amendment must be accompanied by a statement executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the material being inserted is the material previously incorporated by reference and that the amendment contains no new matter. 37 CFR 1.57(f).
- 3. The disclosure is objected to, because abbreviations or acronyms OAM and BIP are cited throughout the specification without explanation. Applicant should provide a full explanation for the acronyms at least at their first occurrence in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in

the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Page 3

The specification does not provide sufficient details to enable a skilled in the art to make and use the invention because it does not adequately describe the following:

Regarding claims 1, 9 and 17, claims limitations "first hardware filter" and "first hardware lookup table".

The specification does not provide enough details about the structure and operation of the elements associated with the above identified claimed features to enable one skilled in the art to make and use the invention without undue experimentation.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 9 and 17 limitations "examining the cell header with a first hardware filter" are unclear, because it is not understood what "examining" means in the context of the claims.

Claims 1, 9 and 17 limitations "identifying the identifying a cell flow" are unclear as written.

Claims 1, 9 and 17 limitations "hardware lookup table" and "software lookup table" are unclear, because it is not understood how a lookup table can be identified as a software or a hardware table.

Application/Control Number: 10/063,377

Art Unit: 2662

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 4

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-4, 9-12 and 17-20 are rejected (as best understood) under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikeda (US 6,580,707) in view of Wicklund (US 6,034,958).
- 10. Regarding claims 1, 9 and 17, Ikeda substantially teaches the limitations of the claims. Ikeda teaches a method, a system and a program for handling ATM cells (ATM router, shown on Fig. 2 and 3 1:30-50), comprising:

Receiving ATM cell having a cell header with destination information (receiving cell with headers, inherently comprising destination address VPI and VCI, because destination address is essential for an ATM cell),

Examining the cell with a first hardware filter and determining whether the cell destination information is included within a first lookup table (utilizing a hardware circuit 12 to examine the cells for the destination information stored in the table 21, shown on Fig. 3 and 4:6-24),

Identifying a cell flow structure associated with an entry in the first lookup table associated with the cell destination information if it is determined that the cell destination information is included within the first lookup table (identifying the cells with destination

Application/Control Number: 10/063,377

Art Unit: 2662

information of table 21, as shown in steps S100 and S104 to acquire forwarding

information/flow structure S106, shown on Fig. 7 and 5:8-6:16), and

Performing the following if it is determined that the cell destination is not included within the first lookup table (if the search results negative, as shown in step S105 on Fig. 7, performing the hash function):

Passing the ATM cell to a second software filter (passing the cells to hash coding for a search in a second lookup table 22, utilized by processor 11/second software filter, as processor 11 uses software process to perform the search 7:7-30),

Examining the cell header with the second software filter, and identifying a cell flow associated with an entry in the second lookup table associated with the cell destination information (performing the search by processor 11 in the same manner as in the prior art, shown on Fig. 1 and 1:30-50, utilizing a forwarding table).

In addition, Ikeda teaches assigning portions of the hardware searching circuit 12 to be performed by processor 11 if needed 8:6-20.

Ikeda does not teach destination information as only VPI and VCI information of a cell header, because it is directed to the IP address and utilizing the second lookup table as a software table.

Wicklund teaches destination information as only VPI and VCI information of a cell header, as shown on Fig. 1 and 1:45-60).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add using destination information as only VPI and VCI information of a cell header of Wicklund to the system of Ikeda and implement the second lookup table as a software table to

Art Unit: 2662

utilize the system in pure ATM environment and use the memory resources of the processor 11 to save cost for the system by using smaller size memory.

- 11. Regarding claims 2, 10 and 18, Ikeda teaches the first hardware lookup table limited to a predetermined size to address communications of a comparatively high frequency by pre-storing the relevant information 4:16-19.
- 12. Regarding claims 3, 11 and 19, Ikeda in view of Wicklund substantially teaches the limitations of the claims (see claim 1 rejection above), including the cell destination information comprising VPI and VCI (Wicklund 3:50-55) and using separate searches for VPI and VCI (Wicklund 4:45-5:30).

Ikeda in view of Wicklund does not teach first hardware table comprising VPI and VCI parts.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to separate the hardware table of Ikeda into VPI and VCI parts to implement separate searches of VCI and VPI of Wicklund to the system of Ikeda to simplify the search process by reducing code length in each table.

13. Regarding claims 4, 12 and 20, Wicklund teaches hashing VCI and VPI extracted from the header and identifying a flow structure associated with an entry in a hash table associated with VPI or VCI (steps 54, 56 and 48 on Fig. 2, comprising hash coding of VPI and VCI, and identifying a connection info/flow structure in VPVC table 4:1-45).

Art Unit: 2662

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dmitry Levitan whose telephone number is (571) 272-3093. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dmitry Levitan Patent Examiner.

1/24/06